1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	जम्मू एवं कश्मीर	43.41	37.94	49.95	17.22
12.	कर्नाटक	18.21	36.61	40.61	16.22
13.	केरल	83.35	68.20	46.77	61.22
14.	मध्य प्रदेश	35.08	85.76	100.51	89.30
15.	महाराष्ट्र	16.95	29.30	37.72	39.04
16.	मणिपुर	8.10	17.39	8.29	14.67
17.	मेघालय	1.01	2.28	2.09	2.24
18.	मिजोरम	0.49	1.99	3.00	1.70
19.	नागालैंड	9.71	10.33	3.09	3.56
20.	उड़ीसा	52.87	100.20	80.52	88.81
21.	पंजाब	16.40	47.52	15.33	11.25
22.	पांडिचेरी	5.11	7.77	4.07	1.97
23.	राजस्थान	31.38	36.31	30.76	21.83
24.	सिक्किम	2.50	1.86	0.97	2.14
25.	तमिलनाडु	52.29	63.66	69.84	35.70
26.	त्रिपुरा	9.67	4.46	8.06	6.43
27.	उत्तर प्रदेश	108.99	214.73	352.85	271.03
28.	पश्चिमी बंगाल	36.84	59.59	57.27	40.48
	कुल:	725.59	1224.64	1308.51	1016.88

Taking Huge Donations by Educational Institutions run by Trust

1183. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH:
SHRIMATI MALTI SHARMA:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that a large number of trusts have adopted a practive of accumulating huge assets by running educational institutions;

(b) if so, whether any investigation has been made against such trusts, particularly Shanti Devi Progressive Educational Society;

(c) whether Government propose to work out modalities to check these trusts running public schools taking huge donations in admissions; and

(d) whether Government have received any complaint against the public schools, taking donations?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir. Wherever investigations are required. As far as Shanti Devi Progressive Educational Society is concerned, the claim of section 10(22) has been examined and allowed in assessment. In general, the exemption in the case of educational trust is allowed only after varifying the applicability of section 10(22) of Income-tax Act. This is an on going process.

(c) At present there is no such proposal.

(d) Yes, Sir.